# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

### AUG 1 0 2006

#### EASTEX OFFICE SUPPLY PO BOX 790, 13835 US HWY 190 W. ONALASKA, TX 77360

TELE: (936) 646-3113 e-mail: jkyle@eastex.net: FAX: (936) 646-3115

DATE: aug. 10, 2006
EAX TO: Director Son Hajee USPTO
FAX: 57/-273-8300
FROM: Nuey Thomas Crochet
PAGES INCLUDING COVER PAGE: 4
If you fail to receive all pages please contact Eastex Office Supply.
With regard to withdrawal of abondonment in view of improper and invalid notice of allowability due to examiners faulty amendments.
allowability due to examiners faulty
amendments.

## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

### AUG 1 0 2006

Petition to Director Don Hajec, Group 3600
Applicant – Huey Thomas Crochet
Application # 10,083,771
Examiner – Kurt Rowen
Art Unit 3643
Title of Invention – Dynamic Snag Resistant Fishing Weight

#### 37 CFR 1.8

Request for withdrawal of abandonment in view of improper and invalid notice of allowability.

Sir,

Examiner Rowan's notice of allowability clearly shows, adjacent box #2, that claim 22 is the allowed claim.

Said examiner's amendments, attached to said notice, show that the examiner made only one amendment to the applicant's claim 22 whereby he has inserted the word <u>at</u> into line 13 between the words <u>terminating</u>, and, <u>said first end</u>.

After said insertion, said line 13 now reads in part, "said hemisphere head terminating at said first end," which creates an uncertainty about lines 5-6 which state in part, that there is a "smoothly rounded hemisphere head terminating a first end and an opposite second end," such that the applicant's claim 22 is now indefinite with regard to the exact positioning of said hemisphere heads.

Because the examiner has approved said claim 22 he is clearly aware of the existence of said cylindrical body and said hemisphere heads especially since his amendment to line 13 of said claim creates an indefiniteness regarding the placement of said head at said first end. The applicant objects to the amendment of said claim in view of the introduction of uncertainty regarding the placement of said head terminating said first end.

A third reason for voluntary withdrawal of allowance by the office is #1 of 37 CFR 1.313 wherein said #1 is a mistake on the part of the office which is obvious in view of said #'s 2, and 3.

Clearly under 37 CFR 1.56 (a) the applicant has a duty to disclose the deletion, by the examiner, of structurally descriptive original disclosure from the applicant's application because that is information material to patentability.

Because the applicant has pointed out herein the violations accrued by the examiner and because said examiner displays his obviously faulty amendments and put his signature to said attached papers of the notice of allowability, the applicant contends that said notice is invalid as is the subsequent issue date and the holding of abandonment against the applicant for non-payment of said issue fee

Clearly in view of the evidence of the record brought to light herein, if the applicant had paid the issue fee his patent would have been easily contestable post patent and he would have been guilty of being party to the perpetration of a fraud on the patent office under 37 CFR 1.56 (a).

Because the notice of allowability is clearly improper, illegal, and invalid then accordingly, so is the issue fee and the holding of abandonment against the applicant's application.

As in the applicant's timely filed and erroneously dismissed January 12, 2006 petition, the applicant further requests that his application be restored to the condition it was in immediately prior the examiner's faulty amendments and be found in condition for allowance.

I hereby certify that this communication is being facsimile transmitted to the PTO fax # 571-273-8300 on this day <u>August 10</u>, 2006.

Signed Muey Homas Crocket Dated aug 10,2006